## Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

	Original		Updated	C	orrected		Supplemental
LRB	Number	09-4260/1		Introdu	ction Numbe	r S	B-536
<b>Descr</b> Promo	iption oting the use	of locally growr	food in school	meals and sna	acks and granting	rule-n	naking authority
Fiscal	Effect						
	No State Fisco Indeterminate Increase Appropria Decrease Appropria Create No	e Existing ations Existing	Reven Decrea	ase Existing	to absort	withi Yes	s - May be possible n agency's budget No
Local:	No Local Go Indeterminal 1. Increas Permis 2. Decrea	se Costs sive Mandat	3. Increa ory Permis 4. Decrea	se Revenue ssive  Manda ase Revenue ssive  Manda	atory ☐ Towr☐ Cour☐ Scho	ent Ur ns [ nties [ ol [	nits Affected Village Cities Others WTCS Districts
	Sources Aff		□ PRS □ S	seg 🗆 seg	Affected Ch. 2 20.115 (4)(as); Technical Mem		-
Agen	cy/Prepared	Ву	1	Authorized Sig	gnature		Date
DATO	P/ Teresa C	uperus (608) 22	24-5101 E	Bill Walker (608	8) 224-4353		2/26/2010

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DATCP 2/26/2010

LRB Number	09-4260/1	Introduction Number	SB-536	Estimate Type	Original		
Description							
Promoting the use of locally grown food in school meals and snacks and granting rule-making authority							

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill requires the department to promote farm to school programs. The bill creates a 1.0 FTE GPR position but does not fund it. The bill also creates a program for the department to provide grants to school districts, nonprofit organizations, and others for the creation and expansion of farm to school programs. The bill provides no funding for the grant program. Finally, the bill establishes a farm to school council to advise the department and to report to the legislature about the needs and opportunities for farm to school programs.

Since the bill provides no funding for the grant program, the authorized position, or program costs, the department would operate only a limited program under the bill as written. The department would appoint and staff the farm to school council, item 1 below. The department would be unable to implement the grant program or farm to school promotion duties, items 2, 3, and 4 below.

#### 1. Farm to School Council

This bill creates a Secretary-appointed farm to school council, consisting of farmers, experts in child health, school food service personnel, and other persons with interests in agriculture, nutrition, and education. The council would be staffed by at least one department employee, who would spend approximately 116 hours for meeting preparation, participation, and organization.

The council is required to report at least annually to the legislature and the department Secretary. The report would require an estimated 40 hours to prepare. The total fiscal estimate of this additional staff time is equivalent to .075 FTE or \$6,300. These costs could be absorbed by the department. Support costs for the council are estimated at \$5,000 GPR annually for supplies and travel. These costs could also be absorbed.

### 2. Promotion/Implementation of Farm to School Programs

The department is charged in section 5 (93.49)(2)(a) with multiple activities related to the promotion and implementation of farm to school programs. The bill authorizes 1.0 FTE GPR positions to perform these duties but does not fund the position. If it were funded, the position would likely be created as an economic development consultant. Salary and fringe costs for such a position would total \$72,800.

In addition, the department would expect to spend approximately \$100,000 to carryout the activities defined in the bill. This amount includes supplies and services costs for offering conferences and training; publications and promotional material; as well as staff cost for travel. These costs and workload could not be absorbed by existing programs or staff.

### 3. Grant Rules

The department is charged in section 5 (93.49)(4) to promulgate rules for the administration of the grant program. It is estimated that it would take 0.5 FTE about one year to research potential rule provisions in coordination with council; hold hearings; draft the rule and supporting documents; and conduct other rule-making tasks. The fiscal estimate for rule making is a one-time cost of \$42,300. These costs and workload could not be absorbed.

### 4. Grant Program

The department is charged in section 5 (93.49)(3)(a) to provide grants for specific farm to school projects. The bill provides no funding for the grant program, and therefore no grants could be awarded.

The department estimates that the level of interest in a grant program would justify approximately 10 to 15 projects and a total of \$200,000 GPR per year. Administration of the grant program would be performed by

the position authorized under the bill.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2009 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original	Updated	Corrected	Supplemental	
LRB Number <b>09-4260</b>	/1	Introduction Num	ber <b>SB-536</b>	
<b>Description</b> Promoting the use of locally grown authority	wn food in scho	ol meals and snacks and gr	anting rule-making	
I. One-time Costs or Revenue annualized fiscal effect):	Impacts for St	ate and/or Local Governm	ent (do not include in	
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fise	cal Impact on funds from:	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
A. State Costs by Category				
State Operations - Salaries ar	nd Fringes	\$6,300	\$	
(FTE Position Changes)		(0.1 FTE)		
State Operations - Other Cost	s	5,000		
Local Assistance			<u></u>	
Aids to Individuals or Organiz	ations			
TOTAL State Costs by Ca	itegory	\$11,300	\$	
B. State Costs by Source of F	unds			
GPR		11,300		
FED				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S				
III. State Revenues - Complete revenues (e.g., tax increase, d			r decrease state	
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev	
GPR Taxes		\$	\$	
GPR Earned				
FED	·	·		
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S				
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$	
	NET ANNUALI	ZED FISCAL IMPACT		
		State	Loca	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$11,300		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$	\$	
Agency/Prepared By	Ta	uthorized Signature	Date	
DATCP/ Teresa Cuperus (608)		ill Walker (608) 224-4353	2/26/2010	

## Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

Original Dpdated	Corrected	Supplemental
LRB Number <b>09-4260/1</b>	Introduction Number S	B-536
<b>Description</b> Promoting the use of locally grown food in sch	ool meals and snacks and granting rule-m	naking authority
Fiscal Effect		
Appropriations Re Decrease Existing De Appropriations Re Create New Appropriations  Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs 3. Inc Permissive Mandatory Pe 2. Decrease Costs 4. De		
Fund Sources Affected  GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 App	ropriations
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date
DHS/ Ellen Hadidian (608) 266-8155	Andy Forsaith (608) 266-7684	2/22/2010
15/16/ Elicit Hadidian (000) 200-0100	/ may / 5/34/11/ (555) 255 / 554	2,22,2010

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DHS 2/22/2010

LRB Number	09-4260/1	Introduction Number	SB-536	Estimate Type	Original		
Description							
Promoting the use of locally grown food in school meals and snacks and granting rule-making authority							

### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

This bill requires the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP) to create a farm-to-school program which encourages schools to work with local farms to provide fresh, locally-grown food in schools and promotes the development of healthy eating habits among students. The bill creates a farm-to-school council composed of DATCP staff, farmers, experts in children's health, school personnel, and representatives from the Department of Health Services (DHS) and the Department of Public Instruction (DPI).

As part of its regular inspections of school food programs, DHS will check on the sources of the food. A DHS representative will also serve on the farm-to-school council. Neither of these activities will have a fiscal effect on the Department of Health Services.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

## Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

Original		Updated	Corre	ected		Supplemental
LRB Number	09-4260/1		Introducti	on Numbe	r SE	3-536
<b>Description</b> Promoting the use	of locally grown	food in school mea	als and snacks	s and granting	rule-ma	aking authority
Fiscal Effect						
State: No State Fisco Indeterminate Increase Appropria Decrease Appropria	e Existing tions Existing	Increase E Revenues Decrease Revenues		to absorb	within Yes	May be possible agency's budget \tilde{\to}\text{No}
☑ Indeterminat  1. ☐ Increas ☐ Permis  2. ☐ Decrea	e Costs sive Mandato	3. Increase Fory Permissive 4. Decrease	Mandator Revenue	☐Town: ☐Count	ent Units  ties	s Affected  Village
Fund Sources Aff		PRS SEG	SEGS	ffected Ch. 20	0 Appro	opriations
Agency/Prepared	Ву	Auth	orized Signat	ture		Date
DPI/ Lori Slauson (	608) 267-9127	Micha	ael Bormett (6	08) 266-2804		2/18/2010

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DPI 2/18/2010

LRB Number 09-4260/1	Introduction Number	SB-536	Estimate Type	Original			
Description							
Promoting the use of locally grown food in school meals and snacks and granting rule-making authority							

### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

The bill seeks to connect schools with nearby farms to provide children with locally produced fresh foods in school meals and snacks, help children develop healthy eating habits, provide nutritional and agricultural education, and improve farmers' incomes. The bill also creates a program for the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP) to provide grants to school districts, nonprofit organizations, and others for the creation and expansion of farm to school programs. The bill also establishes a farm to school council to advise DATCP and to report to the legislature about the needs and opportunities for farm to school programs.

#### State fiscal effect:

Buying food locally by school districts is already encouraged by the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the Department of Public Instruction (DPI). DPI already provides a database of local growers and has a website dedicated to "Wisconsin Growers." Therefore, it is assumed that any costs associated with this bill will be absorbed by the department.

DPI is also required to appoint an employee to the farm to school council created under the bill. The cost of appointing a DPI employee will be absorbed by the department.

### Local fiscal effect:

Although no funds are currently appropriated under the bill, it is assumed that eventually funds will be made available and awarded to school districts, nonprofit organizations, farmers, and other entities for the creation and expansion of farm to school programs. The amount of funds that may be made available to school districts is unknown.

There are many benefits to the locally produced procurement method, as food service staff can: request specific products in the form they need them; work out details and issues without a middle man; become familiar with what the farmer grows, and even request that farmers plant specific items for them. In addition, in some instances it may be cheaper to purchase food products locally, however any cost savings are indeterminate.

The disadvantages of this procurement method come from food service staff buying from a number of farmers. Buying from individual farmers entails increased administration and paperwork. There could be a transition from making one phone call to order product, to multiple calls, multiple invoices, and coordinating multiple deliveries. In addition, a broker is generally able to provide a greater variety of produce than farmers, who are selling only what is in season and what they grow. Any additional administrative costs to districts are indeterminate.

#### Long-Range Fiscal Implications